

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/019,613 | MARIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Raymond J Henley III | 1614 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Raymond J Henley III.

(3) _____.

(2) Rochelle K. Seide.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 October 2004

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendment filed September 20, 2004 places application in condition for allowance, but is non-compliant because it fails to list all claims. Examiner's amendment and fee for extension of time authorized.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. *Above.*
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPF Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)